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## Sets

We write $x \in X$ to mean that $x$ is a member of a set $X$.
A set is defined by what its members are. Items are either members of $X$ or not. There is no such thing as being a member twice.

Finite sets can be written using braces. For example, $\{x, y\}$ is the set whose members are $x$ and $y$.
We write $X \subseteq Y$ to mean that $X$ is a subset of $Y$, that is, every member of $X$ is a member of $Y$.
We write $\emptyset$ to denote the empty set, the set which has no members.
If $X$ and $Y$ are sets, $X \cup Y$, sometimes written $X+Y$, is the union of $X$ and $Y$, the set of all items which are members of either $X$ or $Y$.

If $X$ and $Y$ are sets, $X \cap Y$ is the intersection of $X$ and $Y$, the set of all items which are members of both $X$ and $Y$.

## Languages

An alphabet is a finite set. The members of an alphabet are called symbols. One very important alphabet is the binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$.

A string is a finite sequence of symbols. If all the symbols of a string $w$ are members of an alphabet $\Sigma$, we say that $w$ is a string over $\Sigma$. A binary string is a string over the binary alphabet.

The string is the string with no symbols, usually indicated as either $\epsilon$ or $\lambda . \lambda, 0,1,00,01, \ldots, 110100, \ldots$ are binary strings.

A language $L$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ is a set of strings over $\Sigma$. A language over the binary alphabet is called a binary language.

We write $\Sigma^{*}$ to mean the set of all strings over an alphabet $\Sigma$. Note that $\Sigma^{*}$ is a language over $\Sigma$. $L$ is a language over $\Sigma$ if and only if $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$.

## Problems

A $0 / 1$ problem is a problem such that the answer is always either true or false. For example, primality is the problem of whether a given numeral represents a prime. We distinguish between a problem and an instance of the problem. For example, " 549755813887 " is an instance of the primality problem.

Every language $L$ gives rise to a $0 / 1$ problem, its membership problem, which is whether a given string is a member of $L$. Conversely, every $0 / 1$ problem can be expressed as the membership problem of some language. For example, primality is the membership problem of $P$, the language consisting of all numerals representing prime numbers.

As another example, consider the $0 / 1$ problem of whether a graph is connected, which we'll call the "graph connectivity problem." An instance of that problem is a graph $G$. How do we change this problem into a language? A graph $G$ is defined to be an ordered pair $(V, E)$, where $V$ is a set, the set of vertices, and $E$ is the set of edges. Each member of $E$ is a set $\{u, v\}$ where $u$ and $v$ are members of $V$. We can encode $G$ as first a number, the size of $V$, followed by a list of pairs of numbers, representing $E$. We will use base 10 numerals to encode numbers. Let $w_{G}$ be this encoding of $G$, which is a string over the alphabet $\Sigma=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,()$,$\} .$ For example, a clique of size 3 would be encoded as the string " $3,(1,2)(1,3)(2,3)$." We let $L_{\text {GRAPH }}$ be the set of all encodings of graphs, which is a language over $\Sigma$. We now define $L_{\text {CONNECTED }}$ to be the subset of $L_{\text {GRAPH }}$ consisting of the encodings of connected graphs. The graph connectivity problem is thus the membership problem of $L_{\text {CONNEGTED. }}$. Then
$3,(1,2)(1,3)(2,3) \in L_{\text {CONNECTED }}$ because it is the encoding of a connected graph.
$4,(1,2)(3,4) \notin L_{\text {CONNECTED }}$ because it is the encoding of a disconnected graph.
$) 6,, 5) \notin L_{\text {CONNECTED }}$ because it is not the encoding of any graph, hence not of any connected graph.
An algorithm which solves the connected graph problem has two parts: the "easy" part determines whether $w \in L_{\text {GRAPH }}$. If the answer is "no," the algorithm is done; otherwise it must do the "hard" part: determine whether the encoded graph is connected.

To simplify our presentations, we usually ignore the easy part, assuming that the input string encodes an instance of the problem.

## Complexity of a Language

The computational complexity of a language is defined to be the computational complexity of its membership problem.

For example, we say that a language $L$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ is quadratic time if there is an algorithm which takes as input any string over $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ and determines, within $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ steps, whether $w \in L$, where $n=|w|$. We define $\mathcal{P}_{\text {TIME }}$, which we usually abbreviate as just $\mathcal{P}$, to be the class of languages which are $O\left(n^{k}\right)$ time for some constant $k$. We call those polynomial time languages, or problems.

