## CSC 456/656 Fall 2022 Answers to First Examination September 28, 2022

The entire test is 230 points.

In the questions of this test,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{NP}$  denote  $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME and  $\mathcal{NP}$ -TIME, respectively. If L is a language over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , we define the *complement* of L to be the set of all strings over  $\Sigma$  which are not in L. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of languages, we define co- $\mathcal{C}$  to be the class of all complements of members of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- 1. True or False. 5 points each. T = true, F = false, and O = open, meaning that the answer is not known science at this time.
  - (i) F Every subset of a regular language is regular.Every language is a subset of a regular language.
  - (ii) F Context-free grammar equivalence is decidable. However, it is co-RE.
  - (iii) **T** Regular grammar equivalence is decidable.
  - (iv) **T** The class of regular languages is closed under intersection.
  - (v) **T** The class of regular languages is closed under Kleene closure.
  - (vi) **T** The class of context-free languages is closed under union.
  - (vii) **F** The class of context-free languages is closed under intersection.  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \ge 0\}$  is the intersection of two context-free languages, but is not context-free.
  - (viii) F The set of binary numerals for prime numbers is a regular language.
    Well, you should be aware that this is considered to be a very hard problem, although it was (finally) proved to be *P*-TIME recently. If the language were regular, there would be a fast algorithm to decide primality. (This is not really a proof, just a "convince.")
  - (ix) T If a language L is accepted by some PDA, then L must be generated by some context-free grammar.Well-known theorem. I haven't proved it in class, since it would take more than one lecture.
  - (x) F Every PDA is equivalent to some DPDA.
     The language of palindromes over {a, b} is context-free, hence accepted by some DFA, but is not accepted by any DPDA.
  - (xi) **F** If L has an unambiguous CF grammar, then there must be a DPDA which accepts L. The language of palindromes over  $\{a, b\}$  has an unambiguous CF grammar:  $S \rightarrow a|b|\lambda|aSa|bSb$  but is not accepted by any DPDA.
  - (xii) **T** If  $\Sigma$  is the binary alphabet,  $\Sigma^*$  is countable. Every language is countable.

- (xiii) **F** If  $\Sigma$  is the binary alphabet, the set of languages over  $\Sigma$  is countable. The set of languages over  $\Sigma$  is equal to the set of subsets of  $\Sigma^*$ . Since  $\Sigma^*$  is infinite,  $2^{\Sigma^*}$  is uncountable.
- (xiv)  $\mathbf{O} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ . The most famous open problem in computer science.
- 2. [10 points] Name a problem which is known to be  $\mathcal{NP}$  and is also known to be co- $\mathcal{NP}$ , but is not known to be  $\mathcal{P}$ .

There could be many, but the only one I've told you about is factoring a binary numeral.

- 3. [10 points] Suppose L is a problem such that you can check any suggested solution in polynomial time. Which one of these statements is certainly true?
  - (i) L is  $\mathcal{P}$ .
  - (ii) L is  $\mathcal{NP}$ .
  - (iii) L is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete.

 $\mathcal{NP}$ . Verifiability in  $\mathcal{P}$  time is the alternative definition of  $\mathcal{NP}$ . Whether either of the other two answers is correct is unknown. Since I used the word "certainly," the middle choice is the only correct choice.

[20 points] Let L be the language of all binary strings encoding numbers which are equivalent to 1 modulo 3, where leading zeros are allowed. Thus, L = {1,01,001,100,111,0100,0111,1010,...}. Draw a DFA which accepts L. (You need only three states.)



5. [20 points] Consider the NFA M pictured below.



Construct a minimal DFA equivalent to M.



6. [20 points] Let G be the CF grammar given below. Show that G is ambiguous by giving two different rightmost derivations for the string *iiwaea*.

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1. \ S \rightarrow a \\ 2. \ S \rightarrow wS \\ 3. \ S \rightarrow iS \\ 4. \ S \rightarrow iSeS \end{array}$ 

 $S \Rightarrow iS \Rightarrow iiSeS \Rightarrow iiSea \Rightarrow iiwSea \Rightarrow iiwaea$ 

 $S \Rightarrow iSeS \Rightarrow iSea \Rightarrow iiSea \Rightarrow iiwSea \Rightarrow iiwaea$ 

7. [20 points] Give a regular grammar for the language accepted by the following NFA.



We will let G have four variables, S, A, B, and C, corresponding to the four states,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$ , and  $q_4$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow aS \\ S \rightarrow aA \\ S \rightarrow bA \\ S \rightarrow bB \\ A \rightarrow bC \\ A \rightarrow aA \\ B \rightarrow aA \\ B \rightarrow aB \\ B \rightarrow bC \\ C \rightarrow aB \\ C \rightarrow bS \\ C \rightarrow \lambda \end{array}$ 

8. [20 points] Give a regular expression for the language accepted by the following NFA



The first part gives a direct path to the final state, while the second part gives all loops based at the final state.

 $(a+b)(b(a+b) + a + ba^*b)^*$ 

9. [20 points] State the pumping lemma for regular languages *correctly*. Pay close attention to the order in which you write the quantifiers. If you have all the correct words in the wrong order, you still might get no credit.

**Lemma 1 (Pumping Lemma for Regular Languages)** If L is a regular language, there exists a positive integer p, called the pumping length of L, such that for any string  $w \in L$  whose length is at least p, there exist strings x, y, z such that the following conditions hold.

- (i) w = xyz
- (ii)  $|y| \ge 1$
- (iii)  $|xy| \leq p$
- (iv) for any  $i \ge 0$ ,  $xy^i z \in L$ .
- 10. [20 points] Let L be the language over  $\{a,b\}$  generated by the following context-free grammar:  $S\to aSbS$

 $S \to \lambda$ 

Design a PDA which accepts L. Note that L is just the Dyck language, where a and b are used instead of left and right parentheses. That is, each member of L is a string which has the same number of a's as b's, each prefix of which has at least as many a's as b's. That is,  $L = \{\lambda, ab, aabb, abab, aaabbb, aababb, abaabb, abaabb, \dots\}$ 

