## Answers to Practice for the CS456 Final Examination: Part II

1. Prove that the language  $L = \{a^n b^n : n \ge 0\}$  is not regular.

Assume L is regular. Thus, L satisfies the conditions of the pumping lemma for regular languagues. Let p be the pumping length of L. Let  $w = a^p b^p \in L$ . Since |w| = 2p, there exist strings x, y, z such that: 1. w = xyz 2.  $|xy| \le p$  3.  $|y| \ge 1$  4. For any integer i > 0,  $xy^i z \in L$ . Since xy is a prefix of  $a^p b^b$  of length at most  $p, y = a^k$  for some k > 0. Let i = 0. Then  $xy^i z = a^{p-k} b^p \notin L$ , contradiction.

- 2. Give a context-sensitive grammar for  $L = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \ge 1\}$  The grammar I gave on the previous version of this document was wrong. Here is my latest attempt:  $S \to abc|aAbc$   $aAb \to aabbB|aaAbbB$   $Bb \to bB$  $Bc \to cc$
- 3. Give a context-free language whose complement is not context-free.

There are many examples. Here is one.  $L = \{a^i b^j c^k : i \neq j \text{ or } j \neq k\}$  We have mentioned this example several times this semester.

Let  $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k : i < j\}.$ Let  $L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^k : i > j\}.$ Let  $L_3 = \{a^i b^j c^k : j < k\}.$ Let  $L_4 = \{a^i b^j c^k : j > k\}.$ Then  $L = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + k$ 

Then  $L = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4$ . Each of the  $L_i$  is clearly conext-free, so L is context-free. Now let L' be the complement of L, that is,  $L' = \{a, b, c\}^* \setminus L$ .

Assume L' is context-free. Let R be the regular language described by the regular expression  $a^*b^*c^*$ . Then  $L' \cap R$  is context-free, since it is the intersection of a context-free language with a regular language. But  $L' \cap R = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \ge 0\}$ , which, by the pumping lemma, is not context-free. Contradiction.

4. Write a regular expression for the binary language accepted by the Turing machine illustrated below.



 $0^*1(0+1)^*$ 

That is, the machine accepts any binary string which is not all zeros.

5. Give a polynomial time reduction of the subset sum problem to the partition problem.

We define a reduction R of the subset sum problem to the partition problem. Let  $w = (K, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an instance of the subset sum problem. Without loss of generality,  $x_i > 0$  for all i. Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Without loss of generality,  $K \leq S$ . Let  $R(w) = (x_1, \ldots x_n, K+1, S-K+1)$ , an instance of the partition problem. Then w has a solution if and only if R(w) has a solution. Clearly, R is polynomial time.

6. Give a polynomial time reduction of 3SAT to the independent set problem.

We define a reduction R of 3SAT to the independent set problem. Let  $w = C_1 * C_2 * \cdots * C_m$ , where  $C_i = (t_{i,1} + t_{i,2} + t_{i,3})$  for all  $1 \le i \le m$ , and where  $t_{i,j}$  either  $I_{i,j}$  or  $!I_{i,j}$  where  $I_{i,j}$  is an identifier, an instance of 3SAT. We define R(w) = (m, G), where G is the graph (V, E), where the set of vertices  $V = \{v_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le 3\}$  and the set of edges E consist of the following pairs:

(a)  $(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}), (v_{i,1}, v_{i,3}), (v_{i,2}, v_{i,3})$  for all i

(b)  $(v_{i,j}, v_{k,\ell} \text{ such that } t_{i,j} * t_{k,\ell} \text{ is a contradiction.}$ 

Then w has a satisfying assignment if and only if G has an independent set of size m.

7. Prove that the language L given in problem 2 is not context-free.

Assume L is context-free. Then L satisfies the conditions of the pumping lemma for context-free languages. Let p be the pumping length of L. Let  $w = a^p b^p c^p \in L$ . Since  $|w| \ge p$ , there exist strings u, v, x, y, z such that

- 1. w = uvxyz
- 2.  $|vxy| \leq p$
- 3.  $|vy| \ge 1$

4. For any integer  $i \ge 0$ ,  $uv^i xy^i z \in L$ . Since vxy has length no longer than p, it cannot contain both an a and a c. Without loss of generality, it contains no a. Let  $k = |vy| \ge 1$ , and let i = 0. Then  $uxz \in L$ . But uxz must contain at most p - 1 a's, and also must contain p c's. Thus  $uxz \notin L$ , contradiction.

8. Prove that the halting problem is undecidable.

We are given system of encoding Turing machines as strings. The halting problem is the language  $L_{\text{HALT}} = \{\langle M \rangle w : w \in L(M)\}$ , where  $\langle M \rangle$  is the encoding of the Turing machine M. Assume  $L_{\text{HALT}}$  is decidable. Let  $L_{\text{DIAG}} = \{\langle M \rangle : \langle M \rangle \langle M \rangle \notin L_{\text{HALT}}\}$  Then  $L_{\text{DIAG}}$  is decidable because  $L_{\text{HALT}}$  is decidable. Thus, there is a Turing machine  $M_{\text{DIAG}}$  which accepts  $L_{\text{DIAG}}$ . If M is any Turing machine,

1. For any Turing machine M,  $\langle M \rangle \in L_{\text{DIAG}}$  if and only if  $\langle M \rangle \langle M \rangle \notin L_{\text{HALT}}$ , by definition of  $L_{\text{DIAG}}$ .

2. For any Turing machine M,  $\langle M \rangle \in L_{\text{DIAG}}$  if and only if  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \langle M \rangle \in L_{\text{DIAG}}$ , by definition of  $M_{\text{HALT}}$ .

By universal instantiation, we can replace  $\langle M \rangle$  by  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle$  in both of those statements. Thus

- 1.  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \in L_{\text{DIAG}}$  if and only if  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \notin L_{\text{HALT}}$ .
- 2.  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \in L_{\text{DIAG}}$  if and only if  $\langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \langle M_{\text{DIAG}} \rangle \in L_{\text{HALT}}$ .

Contradiction.

9. The Dyck language, where left and right parentheses are replaced by *a* and *b*, is generated by the following unambiguous context-free grammar:

1.  $S \rightarrow S_{1,3}a_2S_3b_4$ 2.  $S \rightarrow \lambda$ 

Fill in the Action and Goto tables of an LALR parser for this grammar. I have done lines 0 and 4. The corrected table shows changes in column "a" and rows 2 and 3.

	a	b	\$	S
0	r2		r2	1
1	s2		HALT	
2	r2	r2		3
3	s2	s4		
4	r1	r1	r1	

10. The following unambiguous context-free grammar generates the same language. Fill in the Action and Goto tables of an LALR parser for this grammar.

$1. S \to a_2 S_3 b_4 S_5$							
2. $S \rightarrow \lambda$							
	a	b	\$	S			
0	s2		r2	1			
1			HALT				
2	s2	r2		3			
3		s4					
4	s2	r2	r2	5			
5		r1	r1				

11. The Dyck language contains the empty string. Let L be the Dyck language minus the empty string, which is generated by the CF grammar:

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1. \hspace{0.1cm} S \rightarrow aSb \\ 2. \hspace{0.1cm} S \rightarrow SS \\ 3. \hspace{0.1cm} S \rightarrow ab \end{array}$ 

Find a CNF (Chomsky Normal Form) grammar for L.

Here is one possible answer.

$$\begin{split} S &\to AT |AB|SS \\ T &\to SB \\ A &\to a \\ B &\to b \end{split}$$