

University of Nevada, Las Vegas Computer Science 456/656 Spring 2023

Assignment 4: Due Saturday February 25, 2023, 11:59 PM

Name: _____

You are permitted to work in groups, get help from others, read books, and use the internet. You will receive a message from the graduate assistant, Sandeep Maharjan, telling you how to turn in the assignment.

1. (i) **T** The complement of every undecidable language is undecidable.
- (ii) **F** Every context-free language can be parsed with an LALR parser.
- (iii) **F** LALR parsers require that the grammar be unambiguous.
- (iv) **F** Every context-sensitive language is decidable.
- (v) **T** If a language L is both RE and co-RE, L is decidable.
- (vi) **T** The set of undecidable binary languages is uncountable.
- (vii) **T** Every language has a canonical order enumeration.
- (viii) **T** A language L is accepted by some non-deterministic machine, if and only if L is recursively enumerable.
- (ix) **F** Since many programming languages are not context-free, LALR parsing is useless for those languages.
- (x) **T** If A and B are countable infinite sets, there must be a 1-1 correspondence between A and B .
- (xi) **F** The set of all real numbers is countable.
- (xii) **T** The set of all recursive real numbers is countable. (A real number x is called *recursive* if there is a machine M which runs forever, printing the decimal expansion of x . For example, π is recursive.)
- (xiii) **T** The context-free grammar equivalence problem is co-RE.

2. Consider the annotated CF grammar given below, with start symbol E . and the corresponding LALR parser given by the ACTION and GOTO tables. Write the computation of the parser with input string $x * (y - x)$, in the style used in the lalr handouts. There should be room on the right side of the page.

1. $E \rightarrow E -_2 E_3$
2. $E \rightarrow E *_4 E_5$
3. $E \rightarrow ({}_6 E_7)_8$
4. $E \rightarrow x_9$
5. $E \rightarrow y_{10}$

ACTION								GOTO	
	x	y	-	*	()	\$	E	
0	s9	s10			s6				1
1			s2	s4			HALT		
2	s9	s10			s6				3
3			r1	s4		r1	r1		
4	s9	s10			s6				5
5			r2	r2		r2	r2		
6	s9	s10			s6				7
7			s2	s4		s8			
8			r3	r3		r3	r3		
9			r4	r4		r4	r4		
10			r5	r5		r5	r5		

$\$0$	$x * (y - x)\$$		
$\$0x_9$	$*(y - x)\$$		s9
$\$0E_1$	$*(y - x)\$$	4	r4
$\$0E_1*_4$	$(y - x)\$$	4	s4
$\$0E_1*_4(6$	$y - x)\$$	4	s6
$\$0E_1*_4(6y_{10}$	$-x)\$$	4	s10
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7$	$-x)\$$	45	r5
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7-2$	$x)\$$	45	s2
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7-2x_9$	$)\$$	45	s9
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7-2E_3$	$)\$$	454	r4
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7$	$)\$$	4541	r1
$\$0E_1*_4(6E_7)_8$	$\$$	4541	s8
$\$0E_1*_4E_5$	$\$$	45413	r3
$\$0E_1$	$\$$	454132	r2
		454132	HALT

3. The CF grammar given in Problem 2 is ambiguous: a string can have more than one correct parse tree. The parser resolves the ambiguity. If the entry in row 3 column “*” were changed from s4 to r1, the parser would still compute a parse tree for every string in the language. So, why is it s4 instead of r1?

Because multiplication has precedence over subtraction.

4. Similarly, If the entry in row 3 column “-” were changed from r1 to s2, the parser would still compute a parse tree for every string in the language. So, why is it r1 instead of s2?

Because subtraction is left-associative.

5. Prove that the halting problem is undecidable.

See the handout `halt.pdf` on my website.

6. Prove that every decidable language can be enumerated in canonical order by some machine.

Let L be a decidable language over an alphabet Σ .

Let w_1, w_2, \dots be a canonical order enumeration of Σ^* , which is easily generated by a simple program.

The following (pseudo-code) program enumerates L in canonical order.

For all $i = 1, 2, \dots$

 If($w_i \in L$) (L is decidable, so this condition can be checked.)

 Write w_i .