

University of Nevada, Las Vegas Computer Science 456/656 Spring 2021

Practice Problems for the Examination on April 12, 2023

1. Review answers to homework5:

<http://web.cs.unlv.edu/larmore/Courses/CSC456/S23/Assignments/hw5ans.pdf>

2. Review answers to homework6:

<http://web.cs.unlv.edu/larmore/Courses/CSC456/S23/Assignments/hw6ans.pdf>

3. True or False. If the question is currently open, write “O” or “Open.”

- (i) ----- The language of all binary strings which are the binary numerals for multiples of 23 is regular.
- (ii) ----- If L is an $\mathcal{RE}$ (recursively enumerable) language and $w \notin L$, there must be proof that $w \notin L$.
- (iii) ----- If L is a co- $\mathcal{RE}$ language and $w \notin L$, there must be proof that $w \notin L$.
- (iv) ----- If L is a $\mathcal{P}$ -SPACE language and $w \in L$, there must be a proof of polynomial length that $w \in L$.
- (v) ----- If L is any $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME language, there is a reduction of L to the Boolean circuit problem, and this reduction can be calculated in polylogarithmic time with polynomially many processors.
- (vi) ----- Let $L = \{\langle G_1 \rangle \langle G_2 \rangle : G_1 \text{ is not equivalent to } G_2\}$ Then L is recursively enumerable.
- (vii) ----- The complement of any $\mathcal{P}$ -SPACE language is $\mathcal{P}$ -SPACE.
- (viii) ----- The complement of any $\mathcal{NP}$ language is $\mathcal{NP}$.
- (ix) ----- The complement of every recursive language is recursive.
- (x) ----- The complement of every recursively enumerable language is recursively enumerable.
- (xi) ----- Every language which is generated by a general grammar is recursively enumerable.
- (xii) ----- The context-free language membership problem is undecidable.
- (xiii) ----- The factoring problem, where inputs are written in binary notation, is co- $\mathcal{NP}$.
- (xiv) ----- The factoring problem, where inputs are written in unary (caveman) notation, is $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME.
- (xv) ----- For any non-deterministic finite automaton, there is always a unique minimal deterministic finite automaton equivalent to it.
- (xvi) ----- The question of whether two regular expressions are equivalent is known to be $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete.
- (xvii) ----- The halting problem is recursively enumerable.
- (xviii) ----- The intersection of any two context-free languages is context-free.
- (xix) ----- The question of whether a given Turing Machine halts with empty input is decidable.

- (xx) ----- The class of languages accepted by NTM's (non-deterministic Turing machines) is the same as the class of languages accepted by Turing machines.
- (xxi) ----- The class of languages accepted by non-deterministic push-down automata is the same as the class of languages accepted by deterministic push-down automata.
- (xxii) ----- Let π be the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter. The problem of whether the n^{th} digit of the decimal expansion of π for a given n is equal to a given digit is decidable.
- (xxiii) ----- An undecidable language is necessarily $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete.
- (xxiv) ----- Every context-free language is in the class $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME.
- (xxv) ----- Every regular language is in the class $\mathcal{NC}$
- (xxvi) ----- Let $L = \{a^i b^j c^k : i = j \text{ or } j = k\}$. Then L is not generated by any unambiguous context-free grammar.
- (xxvii) ----- Every context-free grammar can be parsed by some deterministic top-down parser.
- (xxviii) ----- Every context-free grammar can be parsed by some non-deterministic top-down parser.
- (xxix) ----- Commercially available parsers do not use the LALR technique, since most modern programming languages are not context-free.
- (xxx) ----- The boolean satisfiability problem is undecidable.
- (xxxi) ----- If anyone ever proves that the binary integer factorization problem is in $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME, then all public key/private key encryption systems will be known to be insecure.
- (xxxii) ----- If anyone ever proves that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$, then all public key/private key encryption systems will be known to be insecure.
- (xxxiii) ----- If a string w is generated by a context-free grammar G , then w has a unique leftmost derivation if and only if it has a unique rightmost derivation.
- (xxxiv) ----- A language L is in $\mathcal{NP}$ if and only if there is a polynomial time reduction of L to SAT.
- (xxxv) ----- Every subset of a regular language is regular.
- (xxxvi) ----- The intersection of any context-free language with any regular language is context-free.
- (xxxvii) ----- Every language which is generated by a general grammar is recursively enumerable.
- (xxxviii) ----- There exists some mathematical statement which is true but which has no proof.
- (xxxix) ----- The set of all binary numerals for prime numbers is in the class $\mathcal{P}$.
- (xl) ----- If L_1 reduces to L_2 in polynomial time, and if L_2 is $\mathcal{NP}$, and if L_1 is $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete, then L_2 must be $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete.
- (xli) ----- Given any context-free grammar G and any string $w \in L(G)$, there is always a unique leftmost derivation of w using G .

- (xlii) ----- For any deterministic finite automaton, there is always a unique minimal non-deterministic finite automaton equivalent to it.
- (xliii) ----- No language which has an ambiguous context-free grammar can be accepted by a DPDA.
- (xliv) ----- The class of languages accepted by non-deterministic push-down automata is the same as the class of languages accepted by deterministic push-down automata.
- (xlv) ----- Let $F(0) = 1$, and let $F(n) = 2^{F(n-1)}$ for $n > 0$. Then F is recursive.
- (xlvi) ----- The “Busy beaver” function is recursive.
- (xlvii) ----- Let π be the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter. (That’s the usual meaning of π you learned in school.) The problem of whether the n^{th} digit of π , for a given n , is equal to a given digit is decidable.
- (xlviii) ----- There is a machine that parses Pascal. (A parser for a computer language is a machine that constructs a correct parse tree for every valid program written in that language.)
- (xlix) ----- There is a machine that parses C++. Hint: look this up on the internet.
- (l) ----- Every function that can be mathematically defined is recursive.
- (li) ----- Every context-free language is in the class $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME.
- (lii) ----- The Post correspondence problem is undecidable.

For the next three problems, recall that a fraction is a string consisting of a numeral, followed by a slash, followed by another numeral. Thus, any set of fractions is a language. If x is any real number, let $L_L(x)$ be the set of all fractions whose values are less than x , and let $L_R(x)$ be the set of all fractions whose values are greater than x .

- (liii) ----- If a sequence of fractions converges to a real number x , then x must be a recursive real number.
 - (liv) ----- If $L_L(x)$ is recursive, then x must be a recursive real number.
 - (lv) ----- If $L_L(x)$ is recursively enumerable, then x must be a recursive real number.
 - (lvi) ----- If $L_L(x)$ and $L_R(x)$ are both recursively enumerable, then x must be a recursive real number.
4. State a problem, or language, that is known to be in the class $\mathcal{NP}$, is not known to be $\mathcal{P}$ -TIME, and is not known to be $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete.

5. Determine whether the following 2CNF Boolean expression is satisfiable. If so, give a satisfying assignment.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (!d + g) * (!h + !d) * (f + e) * (e + !e) * (b + !j) * (!e + j) * (!i + c) * (a + d) * (g + !j) * (e + !c) * (!j + f) \\
 & (b + i) * (d + !j) * (!h + !c) * (f + g) * (h + !c) * (!b + !j) * (!g + !j) * (a + c) * (!i + g)
 \end{aligned}$$

6. Prove that the halting problem is undecidable. Do it the way you should, not by quoting Lemma 2.