University of Nevada, Las Vegas Computer Science 456/656 Spring 2021

Practice Problems for the Examination on March 8, 2023

Part I

- 1. Review answers to homework3:
 - http://web.cs.unlv.edu/larmore/Courses/CSC456/S23/Assignments/hw3ans.pdf
- 2. Review answers to homework4:
 - http://web.cs.unlv.edu/larmore/Courses/CSC456/S23/Assignments/hw4ans.pdf
- 3. State the pumping lemma for context-free languages. If the logic is wrong, you might get no partial credit, even if all the correct words are there.
- 4. Prove that the halting problem is undecidable.
- 5. Prove that any decidable language is enumerable in canonical order by some machine.

Suppose $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is decidable. Let w_1, w_2, \ldots be the strings over Σ in canonical order. The following program enumerates L in canonical order.

```
For integers i = 1, \ldots
```

If
$$(w_i \in L)$$
 write w_i .

The program will not get stuck because the loop condition can be decided.

- 6. True or False. If the question is currently open, write "O" or "Open."
 - (i) O The Boolean circuit problem is in Nick's class.
 - (ii) **T** Let $L = \{\langle G_1 \rangle G_2 : G_1 \text{ isnotequivalent to } G_2 \}$ Then L is recursively enumerable.
 - (iii) T The complement of any \mathcal{P} -TIME language is \mathcal{P} -TIME.
 - (iv) **O** The complement of any \mathcal{NP} language is \mathcal{NP} .
 - (v) T The complement of any \mathcal{P} -SPACE language is \mathcal{P} -SPACE.
 - (vi) T The complement of every recursive language is recursive.
 - (vii) **F** The complement of every recursively enumerable language is recursively enumerable.
 - (viii) T Every language which is generated by a general grammar is recursively enumerable.
 - (ix) **F** The context-free membership problem is undecidable.
 - (x) T The factoring problem, where inputs are written in binary notation, is co- \mathcal{NP} .
 - (xi) **T** If L_1 reduces to L_2 in polynomial time, and if L_2 is \mathcal{NP} , and if L_1 is \mathcal{NP} -complete, then L_2 must be \mathcal{NP} -complete.
 - (xii) **F** Given any context-free grammar G and any string $w \in L(G)$, there is always a unique leftmost derivation of w using G.

- (xiii) **T** For any non-deterministic finite automaton, there is always a unique minimal deterministic finite automaton equivalent to it.
- (xiv) **F** The question of whether two regular expressions are equivalent is known to be \mathcal{NP} -complete.
- (xv) **T** The halting problem is recursively enumerable.
- (xvi) T The union of any two context-free languages is context-free.
- (xvii) **F** The question of whether a given Turing Machine halts with empty input is decidable.
- (xviii) T The class of languages accepted by non-deterministic finite automata is the same as the class of languages accepted by deterministic finite automata.
- (xix) **F** The class of languages accepted by non-deterministic push-down automata is the same as the class of languages accepted by deterministic push-down automata.
- (xx) F The intersection of any two context-free languages is context-free.
- (xxi) **T** If L_1 reduces to L_2 in polynomial time, and if L_2 is \mathcal{NP} , then L_1 must be \mathcal{NP} .
- (xxii) **F** The language of all regular expressions over the binary alphabet is a regular language.
- (xxiii) **T** Let π be the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter. The problem of whether the n^{th} digit of the decimal expansion of π for a given n is equal to a given digit is decidable.
- (xxiv) **T** There cannot exist any computer program that can decide whether any two C++ programs are equivalent.
- (xxv) \mathbf{F} An undecidable language is necessarily \mathcal{NP} -complete.
- (xxvi) **T** Every regular language is in the class \mathcal{NC}
- (xxvii) F The language of all binary strings which are the binary numerals for prime numbers is context-free.
- (xxviii) F The language of all binary strings which are the binary numerals for prime numbers is regular.
- (xxix) **F** Every bounded function from integers to integers is Turing-computable. (We say that f is bounded if there is some B such that $|f(n)| \leq B$ for all n.)
- (xxx) F The language of all palindromes over {0,1} is inherently ambiguous.
- (xxxi) F Every context-free grammar can be parsed by some deterministic top-down parser.
- (xxxii) T -Every context-free grammar can be parsed by some non-deterministic top-down parser.
- (xxxiii) **F** Commercially available parsers cannot use the LALR technique, since most modern programming languages are not context-free.
- (xxxiv) ${f F}$ The boolean satisfiability problem is undecidable.
- (xxxv) **T** If a string w is generated by a context-free grammer G, then w has a unique leftmost derivation if and only if it has a unique rightmost derivation.
- (xxxvi) **T** A language L is in \mathcal{NP} if and only if there is a polynomial time reduction of L to SAT.
- (xxxvii) **F** Every subset of a regular language is regular.

- (xxxviii) T The intersection of any context-free language with any regular language is context-free.
- (xxxix) **T** The question of whether two context-free grammars generate the same language is undecidable.
 - (xl) **T** There exists some proposition which is true but which has no proof.
 - (xli) T The set of all binary numerals for prime numbers is in the class \mathcal{P} .
 - (xlii) **F** Given any context-free grammar G and any string $w \in L(G)$, there is always a unique leftmost derivation of w using G.
 - (xliii) **F** For any deterministic finite automaton, there is always a unique minimal non-deterministic finite automaton equivalent to it.
 - (xliv) O The question of whether two regular expressions are equivalent is \mathcal{NP} -complete.
 - (xlv) F No language which has an ambiguous context-free grammar can be accepted by a DPDA.
 - (xlvi) **F** The class of languages accepted by non-deterministic push-down automata is the same as the class of languages accepted by deterministic push-down automata.
- (xlvii) DUP T The intersection of any two regular languages is regular.
- (xlviii) **T** If L_1 reduces to L_2 in polynomial time, and if L_2 is \mathcal{NP} , then L_1 must be \mathcal{NP} .
- (xlix) **T** Let F(0) = 1, and let $F(n) = 2^{F(n-1)}$ for n > 0. Then F is recursive.
 - (l) **T** Every language which is accepted by some non-deterministic machine is accepted by some deterministic machine.
 - (li) O The language of all regular expressions over the binary alphabet is a regular language.
 - (lii) **T** Let π be the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter. (That's the usual meaning of π you learned in school.) The problem of whether the n^{th} digit of π , for a given n, is equal to a given digit is decidable.
- (liii) **T** There cannot exist any computer program that decides whether any two given C++ programs are equivalent.
- (liv) **F** An undecidable language is necessarily \mathcal{NP} -complete.
- (lv) **F** Every function that can be mathematically defined is recursive.
- (lvi) T Every context-free language is in the class \mathcal{P} -TIME.
- (lvii) T The language of all binary strings which are the binary numerals for multiples of 23 is regular.
- (lviii) **T** If anyone ever proves that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$, then all public key/private key encryption systems will be known to be insecure.

Read This.

A deteriministic machine has at most one computation for a given input, but a non-deterministic machine could have many possible computations. We say that a non-deterministic machine M accepts a string w if, given w as input, M has at least one computation that ends in an accepting state. If L is a language, we say M accepts L if M accepts every $w \in L$ and accepts no other strings.

If L is a language, we say that a non-deterministic machine M accepts L in polynomial time if M accepts L, and there is some constant k such that, for each $w \in L$, there is an accepting computation of M with input w consisting of $O(n^k)$ steps, where n = |w|.

 \mathcal{NP} -TIME (or simply \mathcal{NP}) is defined to be the class of all languages which are accepted by some machine in polynomial time.