

UNLV CS456: Decide/Accept/Recognize

1. A deterministic machine M *accepts* a string w if, with input w , M halts in an accepting state.
2. A non-deterministic machine M accepts a string w if, with input w , **some** computation of M halts in an accepting state. Note: there could be many computations of M with input w , perhaps only one which ends in an accepting state. We assume M makes a correct guess at every step.¹
3. Let $L(M)$ be the set of all strings accepted by M . We call $L(M)$ the language *recognized* by M . (Some sources use the word “accepted” instead of “recognized.”) L is called *recognizable* if it is recognized by some machine.
4. A deterministic machine $M \subseteq \Sigma^*$ *decides* a language L if:
 - (a) $L = L(M)$
 - (b) M halts with every input.

We say a language is *decidable* if it is decided by some deterministic machine.

5. Let T be a non-decreasing integral function on integers. A machine M *accepts* $w \in L(M)$ *in time* T if some computation of M with input w halts in an accepting state within $T(n)$ steps, where $n = |w|$.

Enumeration and Recursive Enumeration

An *enumeration* of a set X is a sequence which includes each member of X . We say X is *enumerable* if there exists an enumeration of X . The word *countable* means enumerable. We say a set is *uncountable* if it is not countable, *i.e.*, has no enumeration. For example, \mathbb{R} , the set of all real numbers, is uncountable. Every subset of a countable set is countable, thus every language is countable, that is, enumerable. But that does not imply that an enumeration can be computed.

We say that a language L is *recursively enumerable*, or \mathcal{RE} , if there is a machine which writes an enumeration of L . If L is infinite, the machine must run forever.

Theorem 1 *A language is recursively enumerable if and only if it is recognizable.*

Proof: Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be a language and a machine writes an enumeration of M , w_1, w_2, \dots . The following program recognizes L .

```
read  $w \in \Sigma^*$ 
for  $i$  from 1 to  $\infty$ 
  if  $w = w_i$  write "yes" and halt.
```

Conversely, suppose M is a machine which recognizes L . Let w_1, w_2, \dots be the canonical enumeration of Σ^* . The following program enumerates L .

¹Yogi Berra, the famous baseball player, once while giving directions to his house said, “When you come to a fork in the road, take it.”

```

for  $t$  from 1 to  $\infty$ .
  for  $i$  from 1 to  $t$ 
    if  $M$  accepts  $w_i$  within the first  $t$  steps
      write  $w_i$ .

```

■

By the Church-Turing thesis, every recognizable language is enumerated by some Turing machine.

Canonical Order of a Language. Given a language L and two strings $u, v \in L$, we say that u is *before* v in canonical order, or simply $u < v$, if one of the following holds:

1. $|u| < |v|$
2. $|u| = |v|$. and u comes before v alphabetically. (We assume the alphabet of L is ordered.)

The canonical enumeration of $\{0, 1\}^*$ is $\{\lambda, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, \dots\}$.

Theorem 2 *A language L is decidable and only if some machine computes a canonical order enumeration of L .*

Proof: Suppose M decides a language $L \subseteq \Sigma$. Let w_1, w_2, \dots be the canonical enumeration of Σ .

The following program enumerates L in canonical order.

```

for all  $i$  from 0 to  $\infty$ 
  If  $M$  accepts  $w_i$  (Note that  $M$  must halt.)
    write  $w_i$ 

```

Conversely, suppose a machine M enumerates a language $L \subseteq \Sigma$ in canonical order. Let w_1, w_2, \dots be the enumeration of L in canonical order.

If L is finite, L is trivially recursive. On the other hand, if L is infinite, the following program decides L .

```

Read a string  $w \in \Sigma^*$ 
for all  $i$  from 1 to  $\infty$ 
  if  $w = w_i$ 
    write "yes" and halt
  else if  $w > w_i$  // in canonical order
    write "no" and halt

```

■

By the Church-Turing thesis, every decidable language is enumerated in canonical order by some Turing machine.