

Sorting

1 Introduction

The sorting problem is: given a sequence of n items from an ordered domain, permute the items into an increasing sequence. Duplicates are permitted, so 2,3,3,5,6 is an increasing sequence. A sorting algorithm is called *comparison-based* if each branch point of the algorithm is a comparison between two of the original items. If \mathcal{A} is any comparison-based algorithm for sorting a sequence of length n , the number of comparisons during an execution of \mathcal{A} is at least $\log_2 n! \approx n \log_2 n$ in the worst case.¹

For each of the sorting algorithm described below, we assume that we are given a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

2 Selection Sort

Let \mathcal{X} be the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Pseudocode for selection sort:

For each i from 1 to n

 Let y_i be the smallest member of \mathcal{X} . (Search step)

 Delete y_i from \mathcal{X} .

The sequence y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n is the output. The time complexity of selection sort depends on how the search step is implemented. If linear search is used, selection sort takes $O(n^2)$ time.

3 Insertion Sort

The traditional version is to maintain an ordered array A , which is initially empty. Here is the pseudocode:

For each i from 1 to n

 Insert x_i into $A = \{a_j\}$ such that A remains ordered.

A is the output.

Insertion of an item into an ordered array takes linear time. To insert an item x , traverse A until you find an element $a_j \geq x$. Then:

For all $k \geq i$ in reverse order

$$a_{k+1} = a_k$$

$$a_j = x$$

This version of selection sort takes $O(n^2)$ time.

¹That means, the worst case sequence of length n . For example, *bubblesort* is very fast in some cases, such as if the sequence is already sorted, but is much slower in many other cases. The worst case time is defined to be the maximum time, taken over all $n!$ permutations of the input.

4 Mergesort

Mergesort and quicksort are the two standard *divide-and-conquer* sorting algorithms. For each of these, the data are divided into two smaller sequences, each of which is (recursively) sorted; the two sorted sequences are then combined.

4.1 Merging

Suppose $\mathcal{X} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $\mathcal{Y} = y_1, \dots, y_m$ are ordered. We merge \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} into an ordered sequence $\mathcal{Z} = z_1 \dots z_{n+m}$ as follows:

$i = 1$

$j = 1$

For k from 1 to $n + m$

 While $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$

 If $x_i < y_j$

$z_k = x_i$

$i++$

 Else

$z_k = y_j$

$j++$

$k++$

 If $j = m + 1$ copy the remaining items of \mathcal{X} into \mathcal{Z}

 Else copy the remaining items of \mathcal{Y} into \mathcal{Z}

\mathcal{Z} is the output.

We now give the pseudocode for mergesort of $\mathcal{X} = x_1, \dots, x_n$:

If $n = 1$, \mathcal{X} is already sorted and we are done.

Else

$\mathcal{X}_1 = x_1 \dots x_{n/2}$

$\mathcal{X}_2 = x_{n/2+1} \dots x_n$

 Recursively sort \mathcal{X}_1 , with output \mathcal{Y}_1

 Recursively sort \mathcal{X}_2 , with output \mathcal{Y}_2

 Merge \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 with output \mathcal{Z}

\mathcal{Z} is the output.

The time complexity of mergesort is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

4.2 Example Computation

ATIHSV L

ATIH ESVL

AT IH ES VL

AT HI ES LV

AHIT ELSV

AEHILSTV

5 Quicksort

Pseudocode for quicksort. The input is a sequence $\mathcal{X} = x_1 \dots x_n$.

If $n \leq 1$, \mathcal{X} is already sorted and we are done.

Else

 Pick **pivot** $\in \mathcal{X}$.

 Partition $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{\mathbf{pivot}\}$ into two sets \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 such that

$x \leq \mathbf{pivot}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$

$x \geq \mathbf{pivot}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_2$

 Recursively sort \mathcal{X}_1 , with output \mathcal{Y}_1

 Recursively sort \mathcal{X}_2 , with output \mathcal{Y}_2

$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \{\mathbf{pivot}\} \mathcal{Y}_2$ (concatenation)

\mathcal{Z} is the output.

5.1 Problems with Quicksort

The time complexity of quicksort is $\Omega(n \log n)$, but is $O(n^2)$ in the worst case. In mergesort, the list is divided into two nearly equal sublists, but in quicksort, with a bad pivot, they might not be even close to equal. In the first version of quicksort that I read, the first item was chosen to be the pivot, which makes the overall running time quadratic if the list is sorted. However it is chosen, the pivot must first be swapped into the first position before partition begins. The ideal choice of the pivot is the median, but finding that median deterministically takes so much time that quicksort would no longer be “quick.”

There are a number of strategies used in practice in an effort to avoid this problem. Here are some of them.

1. Pick the pivot to be the middle item in the array. This is very easy to do, and almost always leads to $O(n \log n)$ time.
2. Pick the the median of the first, last, and middle items in the array. I have not analyzed this, but in practice, it improves the performance of quicksort over just picking the middle item.
3. Pick a random item as the pivot. Of course, your code is no longer deterministic. Most computers have a built-in pseudo-random number generator. With this choice, the probability of taking quadratic time is vanishingly small, and the expected time is $\Theta(n \log n)$. Expected time can be decreased somewhat by picking the pivot to be the median of three randomly chosen items.
4. Ignore the problem: just pick the first item. According to Richard Lipton, this is almost always good in practice.

6 Polyphase Mergesort

Despite its name, polyphase mergesort is not a form of mergesort. In a sequence, we define a *run* to be a maximal ordered subsequence. For example, the sequence AYUGWIBCESOPNF separated into runs is AY U GW I BCDES OP N F.

The algorithm works as follows. In the first phase, deal the runs of the sequence out into two files. For example starting with ZKTUYWQFGPLARMXNJDK file 1 and file 2 would be:

f1: Z TUY Q L MX J

f2: KW FGP AR N DK

We separate those files into runs, merge the first runs of the files 1 and 2, write into file 3, the second runs of files 1 and 2 into file 4, the third runs of files 1 and 2 into file 3, and so forth:

f3: KWZ AQR DKMX

f4: FGPUY LN J

We now merge the first runs of files 3 and 4 and write into file 1, merge the second runs of files 3 and 4 to file 2, the third runs of files 3 and 4 into file 1, and so forth.

f1: FGKPUWYZ DJKMX

f2: ALNQR

Continuing:

f3: AFGKLNPRUWYZ

f4: DJKMX

Finally we have the sorted list in one file:

f1: ADFGJKKLMNPQRUWXYZ

The time complexity of polyphase mergesort is $O(n \log n)$. Each phase takes $\Theta(n)$ time, and there are $O(\log n)$ phases, since, if there are k runs at the t^{th} phase, there are at most $(k+1)/2$ runs at the $(t+1)^{\text{st}}$ phase.

7 Tree Sort

Tree sort is a fast form of insertion sort. We insert the items of \mathcal{X} one at a time into a search structure, such as a binary search tree, and then create an ordered array by traversing the search structure in alphabetic order, which is *inorder* for a binary search tree.

Since a binary search tree has very bad worst case performance, the time complexity of tree sort quadratic in the worst case. In order to guarantee $O(n \log n)$ time for tree sort, we must use a balanced binary search tree, or some other choice of search structure where insertion takes $O(\log n)$ time. However, according to Lipton, there is no need to worry about that in practice.

8 Heap Sort

Heap sort is a sophisticated form of selection sort, and takes $\Theta(n \log n)$ time. Although asymptotically optimal, heapsort generally takes longer than other $O(n \log n)$ -time sorting algorithms.

The first phase, called *heapify*, is to place the items of \mathcal{X} into a maxheap. The unsophisticated version of heapify is to start with an empty heap and then insert the items one at a time. Each insertion takes $O(\log n)$ time, and so this version of heapify takes $O(n \log n)$ time. However, there is a more sophisticated version of heapify which takes $\Theta(n)$ time. As a consequence, the time of heapify is cut almost in half. I call this method “bottom-up bubbledown.” Here is an example. As usual, we implement the heap as an almost complete binary tree stored in an array in level order. Suppose our file is THJESYIFWZGPZBRN.

We simply start with an array containing those items, then execute bubbledown at each position, with decreasing indices starting from the middle. Here are the steps of heapify. (Remember, it's a maxheap.)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
T	H	J	E	S	Y	I	F	W	Z	G	P	X	B	R	N	
T	H	J	E	S	Y	I	N	W	Z	G	P	X	B	R	F	bubbledown(F)
T	H	J	E	S	Y	R	N	W	Z	G	P	X	B	I	F	bubbledown(I)
T	H	J	E	S	Y	R	N	W	Z	G	P	X	B	I	F	bubbledown(Y) nothing happens
T	H	J	E	Z	Y	R	N	W	S	G	P	X	B	I	F	bubbledown(S)
T	H	J	W	Z	Y	R	N	E	S	G	P	X	B	I	F	bubbledown(E)
T	H	Y	W	Z	J	R	N	E	S	G	P	X	B	I	F	bubbledown(J)
T	H	Y	W	Z	X	R	N	E	S	G	P	J	B	I	F	bubbledown(J), cont
T	Z	Y	W	H	X	R	N	E	S	G	P	J	B	I	F	bubbledown(H)
T	Z	Y	W	S	X	R	N	E	H	G	P	J	B	I	F	bubbledown(H), cont
Z	T	Y	W	S	X	R	N	E	H	G	P	J	B	I	F	bubbledown(T)
Z	W	Y	T	S	X	R	N	E	H	G	P	J	B	I	F	bubbledown(T), cont

Heap order is achieved.

The second, and longer, phase is the selection sequence. If we used the above example, it would be too long, so I'll start with something smaller.

During the second phase, the array has two parts. The left, shrinking, part, is the maxheap, while the right, growing part (shown with bold letters) is the part already sorted. Each iteration consists of the swap of the maximum item in position 1 to the item in the last position of the heap, followed by restoration of heap order. The item that was in position 1 becomes the newest item in the sorted portion, which grows by one. The heap is decremented. Then the item in position 1, which is out of place, bubbles down until heap order is restored.

After n iterations, the heap is empty and the sorted portion is the entire array.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
H	U	B	J	E	R	P	W	Q	
H	U	B	W	E	R	P	J	Q	bubbledown(J)
H	U	R	W	E	B	P	J	Q	bubbledown(B)
H	W	R	U	E	B	P	J	Q	bubbledown(U)
W	H	R	U	E	B	P	J	Q	bubbledown(H)
W	U	R	H	E	B	P	J	Q	bubbledown(H), cont
W	U	R	Q	E	B	P	J	H	bubbledown(H), cont
W	U	R	Q	E	B	P	J	H	bubbledown(H) heap order
<hr/>									
H	U	R	Q	E	B	P	J	W	swap W and H
U	H	R	Q	E	B	P	J	W	bubbledown(H)
U	Q	R	H	E	B	P	J	W	bubbledown(H), cont
U	Q	R	J	E	B	P	H	W	bubbledown(H), cont
H	Q	R	J	E	B	P	U	W	swap U and H
R	Q	H	J	E	B	P	U	W	bubbledown(H)
H	Q	P	J	E	B	R	U	W	swap R and H
Q	H	P	J	E	B	R	U	W	bubbledown(H)
Q	J	P	H	E	B	R	U	W	bubbledown(H), cont
B	J	P	H	E	Q	R	U	W	swap Q and B
P	J	B	H	E	Q	R	U	W	bubbledown(B)
E	J	B	H	P	Q	R	U	W	swap P and E
J	E	B	H	P	Q	R	U	W	bubbledown E
J	H	B	E	P	Q	R	U	W	bubbledown E, cont
E	H	B	J	P	Q	R	U	W	swap E and J
H	E	B	J	P	Q	R	U	W	bubbledown(E)
B	E	H	J	P	Q	R	U	W	swap H and B
E	B	H	J	P	Q	R	U	W	bubbledown(B)
B	E	H	J	P	Q	R	U	W	swap E and B
B	E	H	J	P	Q	R	U	W	sorted