Dynamic Programming

Introduction

Dynamic programming is an algorithm paradigm in which an instance of the problem consists of subinstances (Usually called subproblems). The subproblems form a directed acyclic graph, which must be solved in topological order. Each subproblem reads the input of the instance together with the outputs of its predecessor subproblems, and computes its own output, which can be read by its successor subproblems. The last subproblem worked computes the overall output of the instance.

There are many problems which can be reduced to dynamic program, and classic algorithms which are dynamic programming algorithms when suitable formulated.

Weighted Acyclic Directed Graphs

The simplest kind of dynamic programming is finding the minimum (or maximum) weight path from a given start vertex s of a weighted acyclic directed graph, say G, to a given other vertex, say t, for the single pair version, or to all other vertices of G, for the single source version. Negative arc weights are allowed. Since finding a maximum is same as finding a minimum after all weights are negated, those two goals are equivalent. For discussion purposes, we assume we are seeking minimum weight (shortest) paths.

Topological Order

Suppose that there are n vertices of G, which we write in topological order: v[0], v[1], ... v[n-1], where v[0] is the source vertex. Let S[i] be the smallest total weight of any path (that means, directed path) from v[0] to v[i]. We assume that, for each i > 0, there at least one path from v[0] to v[i]. Let W[i,j] be the weight of the arc from v[i] to v[j] if such an arc exists, and let Pred[j] be the set of i < j such that there is an arc from v[i] to v[j]. The following dynamic program solves all subproblems.

S[0] = 0
for all j from 1 to n-1
    S[j] = "infinity"
    for all i in Pred[j]
        temp = S[i]+W[i,j]
        if(temp < S[j])
            S[j] $\Rightarrow$ temp
            back[j] $\Rightarrow$ i

Coin Row Problems

For a coin row problem, we are given a row of n coins, each of which has some value. Our goal is to select a maximum weight legal subset of the coins, where the definition of legality is different for each version.
For each problem, let the coins be numbered 1...n, and let \( V[i] \) be the value of the \( i \)th coin. For simplicity, we assume that \( V[i] > 0 \) for each \( i \).

**The Simple Version**

Define a subset to be legal if it contains no two consecutive coins of the row. We give two dynamic programs.

**Best subsequence up to some point**

In our first program, the subproblems are to compute \( A[i] \), the maximum weight of any legal subsequence of the first \( i \) coins. This subsequence need not end at \( i \). For convenience we introduce dummy values to make our code more uniform; We compute \( A[i] \) for \(-1 \leq i \leq n\) as follows.

Program 1:

\[
\begin{align*}
A[-1] &= 0; \quad \text{// dummy value} \\
A[0] &= 0; \quad \text{// dummy value} \\
\text{for(int i = 1; i \leq n; i++)} \\
&\quad A[i] = \max(A[i-1], A[i-2] + V[i]); \\
&\quad \text{return } A[n];
\end{align*}
\]

I leave it up to you to modify the code so as to compute backpointers: \( \text{back}[i] \) must be equal to either \( i-1 \) or \( i-2 \) for each \( i \).

The problem reduces to finding a maximum weight path in a weighted directed graph. We use an explicit example sequence of the \( \{V[i]\} \): 5, 1, 3, 6, 2, 7, 1, 4, 8. The maximum total legal subsequence is 5, 6, 7, 8.

![The Graph Corresponding to Program 1](image)

**Best subsequence ending at some point**

Our second algorithm solves the same problem, but we compute subproblems \( B[i] \), defined to be the maximum weight of any legal subsequence ending at the \( i \)th coin.

Program 2:

\[
\begin{align*}
B[-2] &= 0; \quad \text{// dummy value} \\
B[-1] &= 0; \quad \text{// dummy value} \\
B[0] &= 0; \quad \text{// dummy value} \\
\text{for(int i = 1; i \leq n; i++)} \\
&\quad B[i] = \max(B[i-1], B[i-2] + V[i]); \\
&\quad \text{return } B[n];
\end{align*}
\]
\[ B[i] = V[i] + \max(B[i-2], B[i-3]) \]
\[ \text{return } \max(B[n], B[n-1]) \]

The Graph Corresponding to Program 2
Another Version

We now consider a more complicated coin row problem. A subset is legal if any two coins in the set must have at least two coins between them in the original row. Again, we use the sequence: 5, 1, 3, 6, 2, 7, 1, 4, 8. As before, we have two dynamic programs.

Best subsequence up to some point

Let $A[i]$ be the maximum weight of any legal subsequence of the first $i$ coins.

Program 3

$$A[-2] = 0; \text{ // dummy value}$$
$$A[-1] = 0; \text{ // dummy value}$$
$$A[0] = 0; \text{ // dummy value}$$

for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    $A[i] = \max(A[i-1], A[i-3] + V[i]);$
return $A[n]$;

The Graph Corresponding to Program 3
**Best subsequence ending at some point**

Let $B[i]$ be the maximum weight of any legal subsequence which ends at the $i^{th}$ coin.

**Program 4**

```java
B[-4] = 0; // dummy value
B[-3] = 0; // dummy value
B[-2] = 0; // dummy value
B[-1] = 0; // dummy value
B[0] = 0; // dummy value
for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    B[i] = V[i] + max(B[i-3],B[i-4],B[i-5]);
return max(B[n],B[n-1],B[n-2]);
```

The maximum total legal subsequence is 5, 7, 8.

Do you understand these programs?

The graph for Program 4 is more complex than the others. Can you draw it?

**A Harder Version**

The coin row problem gets harder as we pick more and more complex definitions of legality. For a harder version, we define a subset to be legal if it does not have any three consecutive coins of the original row.

Writing the dynamic program for this version of the coin row problem is considerably harder than for the previous two. Can you do it?

**Bellman Ford Algorithm**

We are given a weighted directed graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ arcs. Negative weights are allowed, but as always, no negative cycle may exist. The source vertex is $v_0$, and we need to find the minimum weight path from $v_0$ to $v_i$ for each $i$.

Bellman Ford is a dynamic programming algorithm, but it is may not be obvious what the subproblems are. Note that $G$ can have cycles, but the graph of subproblems cannot.

There are approximately $n^2$ subproblems. For any $0 \leq k \leq n$ and any $0 \leq d \leq n$, the subproblem $S[d,k]$ is defined to be the minimum weight of any path from $v_0$ to $v_i$ consisting of at most $d$ hops (arcs). The directed acyclic graph of subproblems contains an arc from $S[d,k]$ to $S[d+1,\ell]$ for any $k$ and $\ell$ provided there is an arc of $G$ from $v_k$ to $v_\ell$. The source vertex of the graph of subproblems is $S[0,0]$. 
Floyd Warshall Algorithm

We are given a weighted graph $G$ of $n$ vertices. There are approximately $n^3$ subproblems, namely $S[i, j, k]$ for all $i, j, k$ from 1 to $n$. The value of that subproblem is the minimum weight of any path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ whose interior does not contain any vertex of index greater than $j$. Note, however, that either $i$ or $k$, or both, could be larger than $j$. The subproblem $S[i, j, k]$ has two predecessors in the subproblem graph, namely $S[i, j - 1, j]$ and $S[j, j - 1, k]$. Do you see why that graph is acyclic?