### LALR Parsing Handout 2 Answers

We use "\$" as both the bottom of stack symbol and the end of file symbol. The instantaneous description, **id**, is a string consisting of the stack, from bottom to top, followed by the current (remaining) input file starting with the next symbol, followed by the current output file. The symbols in the stack above the bottom are alternating stack states and grammar symbols, where the stack states are written as subscripts for clarity. The last symbol in the input file will be \$.

In all of the LALR parsers given below, there will be two special stack states, 0, the state of the empty stack, and 1, the state when the start symbol is just above the bottom. The stack is initially  $_0$ , and the last configuration of the stack is always  $_0S_1$ , where S is the start symbol. We give several examples of simple LALR parsers. When we write a grammar, we include stack states as subcripts.

### Example 1: Dangling else

The following LALR parser demonstrates how the "dangling else" can be resolved. Let L be the language generated by the ambiguous CF grammar below, with start symbol S.

- 1.  $S \rightarrow a_2$
- 2.  $S \rightarrow w_3 S_4$
- 3.  $S \rightarrow i_5 S_6$
- 4.  $S \rightarrow i_5 S_6 e_7 S_8$

Here are the ACTION and GOTO tables.

	a	w	i	e	\$	S
0	s2	s3	s5			1
1					halt	
2				r1	r1	
3	s2	s3	s5			4
4				r2	r2	
5	s2	s3	s5			6
6				s7	r3	
7	s2	<i>s</i> 3	s5			8
8				r4	r4	

Problem 1. Which entry of the ACTION table resolves the dangling else problem?

Row 6, column "e" is s7, instead of r3.

We now show the action of our parser on the input string *iiwaea*.

\$ <sub>0</sub>	iiwaea\$		
$\$_0 i_5$	iwaea\$		s5
$\$_0 i_5 i_5$	waea\$		s5
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 w_3$	aea\$		s3
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 w_3 a_2$	ea\$		s2
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 w_3 S_4$	ea\$	1	r1
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 S_6$	ea\$	12	r2
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 S_6 e_7$	a\$	12	s7
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 S_6 e_7 a_2$	\$	12	s2
$\$_0 i_5 i_5 S_6 e_7 S_8$	\$	121	r1
$\$_0 i_5 S_6$	\$	1214	r4
$\$_0S_1$	\$	12143	r3

halt

# Example 2: Allowing a List of Statements

The body of a while statement, or the scope of an if-condition or else could be a statement, but it could also be a list of statements enclosed in delimiters, such as braces, such as given in the following grammar.

1.  $S \rightarrow a_2$ 

- 2.  $S \rightarrow w_3 S_4$
- 3.  $S \rightarrow i_5 S_6$
- 4.  $S \rightarrow i_5 S_6 e_7 S_8$
- 5.  $S \to \{{}_9L_{10}\}_{11}$
- 6.  $L \rightarrow L_{10}S_{12}$
- 7.  $L \rightarrow \lambda$

	a	w	i	e	{	}	\$	S	L
0	s2	s3	s5		s9			1	
1							halt		
2				r1		r1	r1		
3	s2	s3	s5		s9			4	
4				r2		r2	r2		
5	s2	s3	s5		s9			6	
6				r3		r3	r3		
7	s2	<i>s</i> 3	s5		<i>s</i> 9			8	
8				r4		r4	r4		
9	r7	r7	r7		r7	r7			10
10	s2	s3	s5		s9	s11		12	
11				r5		r5	r5		
12	r6	r6		r6	r6	r6			

Problem 2. There were errors in the handout, which I corrected.

The rest of our examples are based on algebra. We use x to represent any identifier.

## Example 3: Left Associativity of an Operator

The following grammar generates an algebraic language with one operator, subtraction, and one variable, x. We use E (for expression) as the start symbol. Subtraction is left-associative.

1.  $E \to x_2$ 2.  $E \to E_{-_3} E_4$ 

Here are the ACTION and GOTO tables.

	x	-	\$	E
0	<i>s</i> 2			1
1		<i>s</i> 3	halt	
2		r1	r1	
3	<i>s</i> 2			4
4		r2	r2	

**Problem 3.** Which entry of the ACTION table guarantees that subtraction is left-associative?

r1 in row 2, column "-"

#### Example 4: Binary and Unary Minus Sign

GOTO

E

1

4

6

\$

halt

r1

r2

r3

In computer languages, -4 means 4, although your algebra teacher would not like it. How does an LALR parser distinguish between the two operators, and enforce the priority of the unary operator?

- 1.  $E \rightarrow x_2$
- 2.  $E \rightarrow E_{-_3} E_4$

ACTION

s3

r1

 $s2 \mid s5$ 

r2

r3

 $x \mid -$ 

 $0 \mid s2 \mid s5$ 

1

2

3

4

5 | s2 | s5

6

3.  $E \rightarrow -{}_5E_6$ 

\$ <sub>0</sub>	xx		
$x_0 x_2$	x		s2
$_{0}E_{1}$	x	1	r1
$_0E_13$	- <i>x</i>	1	s3
${}_{0}E_{1} - {}_{3} - {}_{5}$	x	1	s5
$b_0 E_1 - b_3 - b_3 x_2$		1	<i>s</i> 2
${}^{0}E_{1} - {}^{-3} - {}^{-5}E_{6}$		11	r1
${}_0E_1 - {}_3E_4$		113	r3
$0E_1$		1132	r2
halt			

**Problem 4.** Write the steps of the parser with the input string x - -x.

## Example 5: Right Associativity of an Operator

Exponentiation is right associative. For example,  $2^{3^2} = 512$ , not 64. We'll use " $\wedge$ " for exponentiation. This operator has higher precedence than multiplication, but lower than negation.

1.  $E \to x_2$ 2.  $E \to E \wedge_3 E_4$ 

	x	$\wedge$	\$	E
0	s2			1
1		<i>s</i> 3	halt	
2		r1	r1	
3	s2			4
4		<i>s</i> 3	r2	

Problem 5. Which entry of the ACTION table guarantees that exponentiation is right-associative?

s3 in row 4 column " $\wedge$ "

## **Example 6: Precedence of Operators**

Multiplication has precedence over subtraction. For example, 7 - 3 \* 2 is 1, not 8. Consider the language generated by the CF grammar:

1.  $E \rightarrow x_2$ 2.  $E \rightarrow E_{-_3} E_4$ 3.  $E \rightarrow E_{*_5} E_6$ 

	x	-	*	\$	E
0	<i>s</i> 2	<i>s</i> 3			1
1		<i>s</i> 3	s5	halt	
2		r1	r1	r1	
3	<i>s</i> 2				4
4		r2	s5	r2	
5	s2				6
6		r3	r3	r3	

Problem 6. Which two entries guarantee that multiplication has precedence over subtraction?

s5 in row 4 and r3 in row 6, column "-"

### **Example 7: Parentheses**

1.  $E \rightarrow x_2$ 2.  $E \rightarrow E_{-_3} E_4$ 3.  $E \to ({}_5E_6)_7$ 

	x	-	(	)	\$	E
0	<i>s</i> 2		s5			1
1		<i>s</i> 3			halt	
2		r1		r1	r1	
3	<i>s</i> 2		s5			4
4		r2		r2	r2	
5	<i>s</i> 2		s5			6
6		s3		s7		
7		r3		r3	r3	

Unlike the previous examples, this grammar is unambiguous, so there is no ambiguity to resolve

#### Example 8: Combining Examples 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

**Problem 7.** Design an LALR parser for a grammar which has all of the above operators, and which allows parentheses. The operators are addition, subtraction, multiplication, exponentiation, and negation. Negation has the highest precedence, followed by exponentiation, followed by multiplication. Addition and subtraction are of equal and lowest precedence. Addition, subtraction, and multiplication are left associative, while exponentiation is right associative. For simplicity, let x represent any variable.

		x	+	_	*	$\wedge$	(	)	\$	E
	0	<i>s</i> 15		<i>s</i> 10			<i>s</i> 12			1
	1		<i>s</i> 2	s4	s6	s8			halt	
	2	s15		s10			s12			3
Here is the grammar with	3		r1	r1	s6	s8		r1	r1	
annotated stack states.	4	s15		s10			s12			5
1. $E \rightarrow E +_2 E_3$	5		r2	r2	s6	s8		r2	r2	
2. $E \rightarrow E4 E_5$	6	s15		<i>s</i> 10			<i>s</i> 12			7
3. $E \rightarrow E *_6 E_7$	7		r3	r3	r3	s8		r3	r3	
4. $E \to E \wedge_8 E_9$	8	<i>s</i> 15		s10			<i>s</i> 12			9
5. $E \to{10}E_{11}$	9		r4	r4	r4	s8		r4	r4	
6. $E \to ({}_{12}E_{13})_{14}$	10	s15		<i>s</i> 10			<i>s</i> 12			11
7. $E \rightarrow x_{15}$	11		r5	r5	r5	r5		r5	r5	
	12	<i>s</i> 15		s10			<i>s</i> 12			13
	13		s2	s4	s6	s8		<i>s</i> 14		
	14		r6	r6	r6	r6		r6	r6	
	15		r7	r7	r7	r7		r7	r7	