Kruskal's Algorithm and Union-Find

Throughout, when we say *graph* we mean undirected graph.

- 1. A *tree* is a graph which is connected and acyclic. Note that a tree which has n vertices must have exactly n 1 edges.
- 2. A *directed tree* is a directed graph such that
 - (a) One vertex, designated to be the *root*, has outdegree 0.
 - (b) Each vertex, other than the root, has outdegree 1.
 - (c) For each vertex v, there is a directed path from v to the root.
- 3. A *forest* is a graph which is the disjoint union of trees.
- 4. A *directed forest* is a directed graph which is the disjoint union of directed trees.

Kruskal's Algorithm

A component of a graph G is a maximal connected subgraph of G. A spanning tree of a graph G is a subgraph which is a tree and which contains all vertices of G. If G is weighted, *i.e.*, every edge of G has a weight, a spanning tree is minimal if the total weight of its edges is minimal among all spanning trees of G. A spanning forest of any graph G consists of a spanning tree of each component of G. If G is weighted, a spanning forest of G is minimal if the total weight of its edges is detected.

Kruskal's algorithm finds a minimal spanning tree of a connected weighted graph, and can be extended to find a minimal spanning forest of any weighted graph G. During the algorithm, edges can be either selected or discarded. At any given step, the *selected subgraph* S is a forest consisting of all vertices of G together with all edges selected up to that step. Edges are processed in order of weight. Procssing an edge $e = \{x, y\}$ consists of selecting e if x and y belong to different components of S, otherwise discarding e. When an edge is selected, the number of components of S decreases by 1. Computation ends when each component of S is a minimal spanning tree of one component of G, Kruskal's algorithm is greedy since at each step a minimal edge which does not create a cycle is selected.

In some applications, we only wish to find the set of components of G. meaning that all edges of G can be thought of having equal weight. In this case, the edges can be processed in any order.

Implementation of Kruskal's Algorithm using Union/Find

Given a weighted graph G, the data structure of our implementation consists of a forest S and a directed forest \mathcal{D} . S is a subgraph of G, a set of trees which include all vertices of G and the edges which have been selected so far. \mathcal{D} is the union of directed trees, each of which corresponds to one of the trees of S, and has the same set of vertices. The number of arcs of one of these directed trees equals the number of edges of the corresponding tree in S, but an arc may not correspond to any edge of S. When an edge is selected and added to S, the number of arcs in \mathcal{D} is increased by 1 After all steps, S is a minimal spanning forest of G and \mathcal{D} is a spanning directed forest of G.

Parents and Leaders. Each vertex of \mathcal{D} , other than the root of one of its components, has a *parent* vertex, and \mathcal{D} contains an arc from that vertex to its parent. From each vertex v, there is a unique directed path in \mathcal{D} from v to a root vertex ℓ , which we call the *leader* of v, and we

call v a follower of ℓ . The function Find(v) recursively computes the leader of a vertex v, while the function $Union(k,\ell)$, where k and ℓ are roots, assigns, say, ℓ to be the parent of k. This has the effect of combining the two sets of followers into one. Initially, S and D consist of all vertices of G and no edges or arcs. Edges are processed in order of increasing weight, as shown in the pseudocode below.

Process an edge $\{u, v\}$: $k = \operatorname{Find}(u);$ $\ell = \operatorname{Find}(v);$ $\operatorname{If}(k = \ell);$ $\operatorname{Discard} e;$ Else $\operatorname{Union}(k, \ell);$ $\operatorname{Select} e;$

Time Complexity. The worst case time to process one edge is $O(n^2)$, where *n* is the number of vertices of *G*. The worst case time of the algorithm is $O(mn^2)$, where *m* is the number of edges of *G*. However, the algorithm can be sped up by using the disjoint-set method introduced by Galler and Fischer in 1964. Tarjan showed that, using this method, Union/Find takes only $O(m\alpha(n))$ time where α is the very slow growing *inverse Ackermann* function.¹ The method improves search time by using *path compression* which resets the parent of a vertex to be its leader whenever *Find* is executed. Time is also improved by making sure that when *Union* is executed, the leader of the larger set becomes the leader of the combined set.

For clarity, we explain these operations using C++ code, after giving a structural type for vertices.

```
struct vertex
 {
  vertex*parent;
  int numfollow;
 };
vertex*find(vertex*v)
 ſ
  if(v->parent == v) return v;
  else
   {
    vertex*u = v->parent;
    vertex*ell = find(u);
    v->parent = ell;
    return ell;
   }
 }
```

 $¹_{\alpha(m)} \leq 4$ for any number *m* less than $2^{2^{2^{6536}}} - 2$. Thus, for any application whose input is small enough to fit into the known universe (no kidding) the time is O(m).

```
void union(vertex*u,vertex*v)
{
   if(u->numfollow <= v->numfollow)
   {
     u->parent = v;
     v->numfollow += u->numfollow;
   }
   else union(v,u);
  }
void initialize(vertex*v)
  {
   v->parent = v;
   v->numfollow = 1;
  }
```